For the Northern District of California

28

1	
1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	OAKLAND DIVISION
6	
7	In re FERNANDO ROY GUANILL, No. C 12-3185 PJH (PR)
8	Plaintiff. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
9	
10	This case was opened when plaintiff wrote a letter to the court regarding medical
11	care. In an effort to protect his rights, it was filed as a new case. Plaintiff was informed that
12	he had not filed a complaint and was given thirty days to do so. He also was sent a notice
13	that he had not paid the filing fee or applied for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP");
14	again, he was allowed thirty days to either pay the fee or file the application.
15	When plaintiff responded that the prison is slow to provide IFP materials and that he
16	should not be expected to do so within thirty days, the court extended the deadlines for
17	filing a complaint and IFP materials to August 24, 2012. The extended deadline has
18	passed and plaintiff has filed neither a complaint nor an IFP application. The case
19	therefore is DISMISSED without prejudice. No fee is due. The clerk shall close the file.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Dated: September 10, 2012. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
22	United States District Judge
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	